


## REMARKS

The office action, in paragraph 2 under the response to arguments, notes that “furthermore, in the first embodiment of Blackketter, once a trigger is executed, it is not executed again ... .” “Multiple triggers are received ... , but each trigger is executed only once.” This means that there is no basis for the rejection since the claim requires “enabling the resource to be accessed after the trigger expiration time.” There is nothing of the sort in Blackketter and, therefore, the rejection should be reconsidered.

The third paragraph, of paragraph 3, that indicates that Blackketter also discloses enabling the resource to be accessed after the trigger expiration time is, of course, inconsistent with the position taken in paragraph 2. The maintenance of both positions is a logical impossibility and, therefore, the rejection should be reconsidered.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 21, 2005



---

Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994  
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.  
8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100  
Houston, TX 77024  
713/468-8880 [Phone]  
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation